

Nutrient Depletion of our Foods

Submitted to:

Mr. Kevin Guest
Chief Marketing Officer
USANA Health Sciences

Submitted by:

Lyle MacWilliam, MSc, FP
MacWilliam Communications Inc.
7594 Klinger Road
Vernon, British Columbia
CANADA V1H 1H4

November 9, 2009

NUTRIENT DEPLETION OF OUR FOODS

“Nations endure only as long as their topsoil.”

~Henry Cantwell Wallace

The calculus is simple: plants can't make minerals, and without minerals vitamins don't work.

We are made of the stuff of the earth. Consequently, if the minerals are not in the soil, they are not in the plants grown in the soil; and if they are not in the plants grown in the soil, they are *not* in our bodies. As such, it is not surprising that any depletion in the mineral and nutrient content of our soils reflects an increase in nutritionally related diseases in both animal and human populations.

The alarming fact is that foods—fruits, vegetables and grains—now being raised on millions of acres of land that no longer contain enough of certain needed nutrients, are starving us—no matter how much we eat of them.

~ U.S. Senate Document 264

The remarkable thing about the preceding declaration is that it was issued in 1936—over 73 years ago. Since that time, the United States and other industrialized nations have been losing arable land at an unprecedented rate. Today in the U.S., topsoil is eroding at a rate ten times faster than the rate of replenishment, not bad considering that countries such as Africa, India and China are experiencing erosion rates 30 to 40 times the replenishment rate. Today, estimates place the chronological reserves of our global topsoil at less than 50 years—and as the topsoil goes, so go the nutrients.¹

Findings released at the 1992 RIO Earth Summit confirm that mineral depletion of our global topsoil reserve is rampant. At the time, U.S. and Canadian agricultural soils had lost 85% of their mineral content. Asian and South American soils were down 76% while throughout Africa, Europe and Australia, soils were depleted by 74%, 72% and 55% respectively.¹ Tragically, there has been precious little done to forestall the inevitable exhaustion of these precious mineral stores.

In March, 2006, the United Nations recognized a new kind of malnutrition—*multiple micronutrient depletion*. According to Catherine Bertini, Chair of the UN Standing Committee on Nutrition, the overweight are *just* as malnourished as the starving. In essence, it is not the *quantity* of food that is at issue—it is the *quality*.²

Modern Agriculture Impoverishes our Soils

The earth's arable soils are a wafer-thin envelope of mineral-containing carbonaceous materials. They are about 95% mineral content, once you remove the water and airspaces. Soils buffer and filter water and airborne pollutants, store critical moisture and important minerals and micronutrients, and are essential reservoirs for carbon dioxide and methane. Soil degradation is one of the largest threats to the long-term environmental sustainability of our planet.

Soil depletion was well understood in primitive societies, which would migrate every few years to new lands or would replenish the soils with organic wastes. In our recent history, the western migration of Europeans to the New World witnessed families moving every few years as their dry land farming practices repeatedly “played out” the soil. The first sign of nutrient exhaustion did not come from crop failure, but appeared as increased sickness and disease among both the animals and humans who relied upon the land.³ Those who did not leave their farms observed inevitable declines in crop production, followed by outright collapse of the land, as was witnessed in the great dust bowl formations of the 1930s.

Today, we have nowhere else to go. With the landmasses of the great continents now overtaken by a crush of humanity, we can no longer simply pick up and leave for “greener pastures.” We must make do with what we have—soil erosion, contamination with industrial pollutants, and depletion of our limited mineral resources is global.⁴⁻¹¹ Nevertheless, modern agricultural practices continue to consume water, fuel and topsoil at unsustainable rates, seemingly oblivious to nature's inviolate dictate to give back to the earth what we have taken. Instead of replenishing, modern agriculture truncates nature's nutrient and hydrologic cycles. Both crops and livestock deplete our soils by removal of the minerals and nutrients contained in the produce sold. Once shipped to outside markets, the resulting deficiencies are seldom reconciled. There is little recognition of the need to rebuild the essential elements removed from this natural cycle.

Impoverished soils, impoverished crops

The depletion of the nutrient content of our soils, through unsustainable agricultural practices, results in the inevitable loss of nutrient value in our crops. Historical data shows the average mineral content of vegetables grown in U.S. soils has dropped precipitously over the last century.¹ Research published in the *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* in 2004 found significant declines in the mineral and vitamin content of 43 garden crops grown in U.S. markets.¹² As well, an investigative report published by Life Extension Foundation demonstrated that the vitamin and mineral content of several foods dropped dramatically

between 1963 and 2000. Collard greens showed a 62% loss of vitamin C, a 41% loss of vitamin A and a 29% loss of calcium. Potassium and magnesium were down 52% and 84% respectively. Cauliflower had lost almost one-half of its vitamin C, thiamine and riboflavin, and most of the calcium in commercial pineapples had disappeared. According to the report, when asked to explain the precipitous drop in the calcium content observed in commercial corn, the U.S. Department of Agriculture replied that the 78% loss was not significant because “no one eats corn for calcium,” adding that the nutritional content of produce is not as important as appearance and yield.¹³

The U.S. data corroborate findings for vegetable crops grown between 1940 and 2002 in Great Britain, which show mineral losses ranging from 15% to 62% for common minerals and trace elements.² Moreover, in an earlier study, the same authors also found detrimental changes in the natural ratio of minerals, such as calcium and magnesium, in the foods tested.¹⁴ Similarly, a Canadian study found dramatic declines in the nutrient content of produce grown over a 50 year interval to 1999. During that time, the average Canadian spud lost 57% of its vitamin C and iron, 28% of its calcium, 50% of its riboflavin and 18% of its niacin. The story was the same for all 25 fruits and vegetables analyzed. The Canadian data showed that nearly 80% of the foods tested showed large drops in their calcium and iron content, three-quarters showed significant decreases in vitamin A, one-half lost vitamin C and riboflavin and one-third lost thiamine.¹⁵

Selective breeding of new crop varieties that place a premium on yield, appearance and other commercially desirable characteristics has also been attributed to the depletion of the nutritional value of our foods.¹⁶ Dr. Phil Warman of Nova Scotia’s Agricultural College argues that the emphasis on appearance, storability, and yield—with little or no emphasis on nutritional content—has added considerably to the overall nutrient depletion of our food supply. The U.S. Department of Agriculture standards for fruits and vegetables are limited to size, shape and color—they do not even consider nutritional value.¹ With standards like these, it is not surprising that you have to eat eight oranges today to get the same amount of vitamin A your grandparents got from a single orange.¹⁵

How nutrients are removed from soils

Erosion of topsoil by wind and water is accelerated by overcultivating, overgrazing and destruction of natural ground cover. The loss of organic matter results in a concurrent loss of nitrogen, minerals, and trace elements and reduces the ability of soil to hold moisture and support the growth of healthy plants. The nutrient demands from high-yield crops place a further burden on the limited nutritional capacity of our soils. For example, in 1930 an acre

of land would yield about 50 bushels of corn. By 1960, yields had reached 200 bushels per acre—far beyond the capacity of the soil to sustain itself.¹⁷

Erosion, in combination with high-yield nutrient extraction, also depletes the soil of its alkalizing minerals (calcium, potassium and magnesium). This loss of natural buffering capacity results in the release of acids from natural clay deposits and the soil becomes increasingly acidic. Conversely, over-irrigation with hard (alkaline) water causes some soils to leach important minerals while accumulating others (such as calcium). As a result, the soil becomes too alkaline to sustain crop growth.

It is true that nitrate, phosphate and potassium (NPK) fertilizers, first introduced in the early 1900s, significantly increase crop yield, but they do so at great expense. Over-use of these chemical fertilizers has been found to *accelerate* the depletion of these vital micronutrients and trace elements and reduce their bioavailability to plants.¹⁸ NPK fertilizers will gradually reduce soil pH, rendering the soils too acidic to support beneficial bacteria and fungi. These symbiotic organisms assist the plant in absorbing nutrients from the soil. Once gone, uptake of micronutrients by plants is significantly impaired.¹⁹ Moreover, in acidic soils, NPK application has been found to bind soil-based selenium, making it unavailable for root absorption.²⁰

Application of NPK fertilizers to replenish the major growth-promoting nutrients fails to address the concurrent losses of micronutrients and trace elements (such as copper, zinc and molybdenum) that occur in intensively cultivated soils. According to Dr. William Albrecht of the University of Missouri, the use of NPK fertilizers means malnutrition, attack by insects, bacteria and fungi, weed takeover, and crop loss in dry weather.²¹ Albrecht contends that the use of chemical fertilizers to chase yield actually weakens the crop, making it *more* susceptible to pests and disease. Consequently, the commercial farmer has no choice but to rely on harmful chemical pesticides to protect his crop and his investment.

Nutrient depletion forces pesticide abuse

The weakening of both our soils and crops through the indiscriminate practices of commercial agriculture creates an overwhelming dependence on the use of pesticides and herbicides in order to maintain crop yield. These extremely toxic organochlorine (OC) and organophosphorus (OP) derivatives kill our soils by slaughtering the symbiotic bacteria and fungi that promote nutrient uptake in plants. They also inactivate critical enzyme systems within the plant roots that are involved in mineral absorption,¹⁹ and they destroy the soil micro-organisms needed to create the organic-mineral complexes that naturally replenish the soil.¹⁸

To make matters worse, these environmental poisons end up on our dinner table.

Dr. Jerome Weisner, Science Advisor for President John F. Kennedy, said in 1963: “The use of pesticides is far more dangerous than radioactive fallout.” Unfortunately, he underestimated their potential. While potentially lethal, most radioactive fallout eventually decays to background levels. Pesticides, on the other hand, are *persistent* environmental toxins that accumulate and concentrate along the food chain, building up in the fatty tissues of the body. All of us carry a lifetime body burden of these environmental poisons and suffer their cumulative effects.

The evidence is unassailable: human exposure to pesticides is ubiquitous and occurs most commonly through the food we eat.²²⁻⁴⁶ What is at dispute is whether low levels of exposures can cause harm. Some studies refute the claim that environmental exposure to pesticide residues is harmful.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ Other studies provide startling evidence that pesticides can elicit harmful biological effects—sometimes at exquisitely low levels^{24, 25, 43, 50}—as a result of chronic environmental exposures.^{26, 37, 51, 52}

Harmful synergistic effects from combinations of pesticides and chemical agents can occur at levels of environmental exposure.^{37, 53} In some cases, pesticide “cocktails” have been found to elicit toxic effects at levels significantly *below* those expressed by the individual chemicals.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷ In one study, a cocktail of aldicarb, atrazine and nitrate, in the same order of magnitude to that found in groundwater across the United States, induced endocrine, immune and behavioral changes at doses that could not be observed for the single compounds at the same concentrations.⁵⁶

While the industry continues to claim that pesticides and herbicides are safe and effective, a recent study suggests that women with breast cancer are five to nine times more likely to have significant levels of pesticide residues in their blood.⁵⁸ As well, pesticides and herbicides have been linked to a wide range of human health effects, including immune suppression, hormone disruption, diminished intelligence, reproductive abnormalities, neurological and behavioral disorders, and cancer.^{51, 52} They are also potent endocrine hormone disruptors and can be passed easily through the placenta to the unborn infant, which is extremely vulnerable to toxins that disrupt the developmental process.⁵⁹⁻⁶² Children are particularly susceptible to pesticides because of a higher level of food intake for their body weight and a still-maturing immune system.

No matter how conscientious we may be, we are constantly exposed—through the foods we eat, the water we drink, and the air we breathe—to environmental levels of these toxins that may manifest in subtle or profound ways. That is why it is exceedingly important

to protect yourself and your children, as much as you can, by choosing sensible dietary alternatives to commercially processed foods—the principal source of pesticide exposure.

Organic Agriculture Improves Nutrient Content

For the vast majority of human history, agriculture used organic growing practices. It was only during the last 100 years that the use of synthetic chemicals was introduced to the food supply. Organic foods grown today are subject to stringent production standards. Under organic production, the use of conventional non-organic chemicals is greatly restricted. If livestock are involved, they must be reared without the use of antibiotics or growth hormones, and animals must be fed a healthy diet. In most countries, organic crops must not be genetically modified. Since the early 1990s, organic food production has grown about 20% a year, far ahead of the rest of the food industry. In both developed and developing nations organic agriculture now accounts for 1–2% of global food production.⁶³

The natural mulching and cultivation techniques employed through organic gardening feed the soil rather than the plant by returning many of the nutrients lost through plant growth and by encouraging the growth of beneficial fungi, nitrogen-fixing bacteria, and other beneficial micro-organisms. Healthy *living* soil, in turn, promotes the symbiosis of plants with these soil microbes, thereby enhancing the transfer of essential nutrients into the plants. In contrast to conventional agriculture, organic agriculture *embraces* the natural replenishing cycles of nature.

Consumers who wish to minimize their pesticide exposure from conventional foods can do so confidently by purchasing organically grown produce and meats, or by adopting organic agricultural practices for their own food supply. An organic diet is beneficial in a number of ways:

- It significantly reduces the number of harmful synthetic chemicals ingested;
- It avoids the use of genetically modified plants that are bred for yield rather than nutrition;
- It reduces exposure to harmful food additives and colorings;
- It increases the intake of beneficial nutrients.

In a 2003 exposure study in the Seattle area, children two-to-four years of age who consumed organically grown fruits and vegetables had urine levels of pesticides six times lower than children who consumed conventionally grown foods. According to the authors

of the study, the consumption of organic fruits, vegetables, and juices can reduce children's exposure levels from *above* to *below* the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's current guidelines, thereby shifting exposures from a range of *uncertain* risk to a range of *negligible* risk.⁶⁴

While no systematic or clinical studies on the safety of genetically modified (GMO) foods currently exist, adverse microscopic and molecular effects in different organs and tissues have been reported.⁶⁵ Some investigations reveal evidence of harm from the consumption of such foods, although the mechanism remains to be explained.⁶⁶ The results of most studies indicate that GMO foods may cause hepatic, pancreatic, renal, or reproductive effects and may alter haematological, biochemical, and immunologic parameters.⁶⁷ Because genetic-modification techniques alter specific proteins expressed by a plant, focus has now turned to evidence that certain GMO foods may elicit harmful allergic responses in sensitive individuals.⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰

Over 300 food additives, including aspartame, phosphoric acid, monosodium glutamate and trans-hydrogenated fats, and various preservatives, stabilizers, artificial sweeteners and colorings, are allowed in conventional foods. Conversely, artificial sweeteners, colorings and most chemical additives are banned in certified organically grown foods. Food colorings have been shown to have a wide range of harmful effects. Tartrazine (Yellow E102), for example, has been linked to severe allergic response, headaches, asthma, growth retardation and hyperactivity disorder in children.^{71, 72}

There is a growing body of evidence confirming the health promoting effects of organically grown foods. Some studies reveal that organic crops are higher in vitamin C, iron, natural sugars, magnesium and phosphorus, and lower in harmful nitrates than conventional crops.^{73, 74} An independent review, published in the *Journal of Complimentary Medicine*, found that organic crops had markedly higher levels of nutrients for all 21 nutrients evaluated than did conventionally grown produce. Organically grown spinach, lettuce, cabbage and potatoes expressed particularly high levels of minerals.⁷⁴

Research conducted by the University of California, Davis, shows that organically grown tomatoes and peppers have higher levels of flavonoids and vitamin C than conventionally grown tomatoes.⁷⁵ The health promoting effects of these secondary plant metabolites, manufactured by the plant to protect it from the oxidative damage of solar radiation, are well established. High intensity conventional agricultural practices appear to disrupt the natural production of these plant metabolites, leading to a loss of flavonoid content in conventional crops. Conversely, organic practices are shown to stimulate the plants' oxidative defense mechanisms, leading to enhanced production of these important

phytonutrients.⁷⁶ It is precisely because organic crops are not protected by pesticides that their fruits contain higher levels of flavonoids and polyphenols than conventional fruits—including up to 50% more antioxidants.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸

One caution regarding the consumption of organic foods, particularly lettuce, is the evidence of contamination with *E coli* bacteria and other pathogens from unwashed fruits and vegetables. Under-washed organic produce is more apt to harbour these unwanted guests than conventionally grown foods.^{79, 80}

Nutrient Depletion through Food Preparation

Nutrient depletion of foods also occurs through the harvesting, storing and transport to markets that may be half a world away. To reduce damage and facilitate transport, produce (particularly fruits) are often picked green, interrupting the natural maturation cycle; they are then ripened artificially upon reaching destination, thus further reducing the nutritional value of the food. Despite the dwindling nutrient content of foodstuffs by the time they reach the pantry, it is in the final preparation for the dinner table where considerably greater nutrient diminution occurs. The amount of this loss depends upon the way foods are prepared and the method of cooking, if any.

In food preparation, the greater the surface area to volume ratio, the greater will be the nutrient depletion. For example, thin slicing of carrots, (particularly if done on the diagonal) maximizes this ratio, exposing a large area of the carrot to the depleting effects of oxygen and to the leaching effects of the cooking medium. Foods prepared in this manner may look appealing, but they have sacrificed much of their antioxidant and mineral content through the preparation process. Cubing the fruit or vegetable, or simply serving it whole, will minimize surface exposure and preserve greater nutrient content.

Thiamine is the nutrient most susceptible to thermal degradation in meats, and vitamin C is the most heat labile nutrient in produce. Consequently, they are generally used as an indicator of overall nutrient depletion. Nutrients are lost from foods because of their unavoidable exposure to light, heat, oxygen, and changes in acidity (pH). Cooking methods that minimize these effects will provide the greatest nutritional value at the dinner table.

Storage of Foods

Freezing appears to be the most desirable method of long-term storage, as opposed to nutrient depleting practices of canning, dehydration and salting. The ideal temperature for freezing is -18°C. At this temperature, nutrient loss, as measured by thiamine (meats) and vitamin C (produce), degrades slowly over time. It is important to use moisture-proof bags

or containers as foods will dehydrate even though frozen, leading to considerable antioxidant depletion. Packaging foods in airtight containers will also limit antioxidant loss caused by contact with oxygen. When preparing foods for freezing, use the largest cuts possible, or freeze the food whole to reduce nutrient degradation due to surface area exposure.⁸¹

Refrigeration of fruits and vegetables is necessary to preserve nutritional value once the produce has ripened. Placing these foods in a crisper and using moisture-proof bags will help preserve moisture content. Avoid dehydration of your produce, whether refrigerating or freezing; the process of dehydration can lead to extensive losses of certain phytonutrients.⁸² Even with these precautions, fresh fruits will deteriorate rapidly and should be frozen to preserve nutritional value if not used within a few days.

Cooking Methodologies

Preserving nutritional content appears to vary with cooking methodology, food type, and nutrient.^{83, 84} Although there is no hard-and-fast rule, the consensus of the research indicates that microwave cooking, baking, and steaming are the *least* destructive processes and preserve the *greatest* nutritional content of foods. On the other hand, boiling (while preserving the antioxidant content of certain phytonutrients)⁸⁴ is generally the *worst* method for preserving water-soluble vitamins and minerals, which are quickly leached out of the food.^{85, 86} This section briefly outlines four cooking methodologies—boiling, steaming, frying and microwave cooking—and provides a relative comparison of each.

Boiling

Those cooking methods that make use of water, such as boiling, scalding and blanching (with the exception of steaming), are generally associated with the greatest nutrient losses in both meats and vegetables.⁸⁷ Boiling has been shown to reduce folic acid content by over 50% in spinach and 56% in broccoli, in contrast to steaming, which showed no significant decrease in folate levels.⁸⁸ In another study, vitamin C losses from broccoli due to boiling exceeded 30% as opposed to about 20% for steaming and less than 10% for microwaving.⁸⁹ One study investigating the retention of several B-complex vitamins showed that boiling and deep-frying were the most aggressive of all cooking methods in depleting vitamin content. Boiling, more so than deep frying, also dramatically reduces overall mineral content.⁹⁰

The combination of boiling and stir-frying, a popular method of food preparation in Asian cultures, leads to dramatic losses of chlorophyll, soluble proteins and sugars, vitamin C and glucosinolates in vegetables.⁹¹ These losses occur mainly from the leaching of the nutrients into the water, rather than their wholesale destruction.⁹² The addition of a small amount of salt as well as reducing the volume of cooking water have been shown to reduce

the degree of leaching.⁹³ Rather than total immersion in water, it is better to boil foods in a shallow layer of water in order to reduce leaching. Also, rather than discarding the cooking juices, reclaim the nutrients by using the liquid for the preparation of broths or gravies.

Steaming

While there is conflicting evidence regarding the stability of vitamin C during steaming,^{84, 94} most studies report that steaming provides good retention of both vitamins and minerals in various food types. Recent studies confirm that steaming, microwaving and stir-frying for short durations are best at preserving the health promoting factors (glucosinolates and isothiocyanates) found in vegetables of the Brassica family (broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cauliflower and cabbage).⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷ In a study using twelve types of vegetables, steaming and roasting bested several other cooking methods in preserving B-complex vitamins.⁹⁸

Of all cooking methods, steaming appears to be one of the best for nutrient retention in vegetables. Both nutrient content and presentation are optimized when the vegetable is not immersed in the water and when exposure time is minimized.

Frying

In a study of nutrient loss in 20 vegetables using different cooking methods, microwave cooking and baking best preserved antioxidant status, whereas pressure cooking and boiling showed the greatest losses. Frying of vegetables occupied an intermediate position with respect to preserving antioxidant content.^{99, 100} Frying appears to have little effect on mineral content, nor does it appear to cause significant loss of phytonutrients.^{101, 102} Some studies show fried foods to be a good source of vitamin E;¹⁰³ other studies show that frying does not affect the flavonoid content of certain vegetables.¹⁰⁴ However, frying does appear to be destructive of overall antioxidant activity, more so than either sautéing (quickly frying with little fat) or baking.¹⁰⁵ Similar to boiling, frying can cause significant nutrient loss of the health promoting glucosinolates and B-complex vitamins found in Brassica and other vegetables.^{96, 98} As well, deep frying has been found to incur severe denaturation of proteins in some vegetables.¹⁰⁶

Frying has little apparent impact on the protein or mineral content of meats,¹⁰⁷ and similar to roasting, is credited with removing over 50% of carcinogenic polychlorinated biphenyls from fish during the cooking process.¹⁰⁸ One recent study showed that frying, baking, broiling and microwaving, reduced the level of organochlorines (DDT derivatives and PCBs) found in fish by as much as 68%.¹⁰⁹ Another study showed that roasting meat in its own fat is preferable to deep-frying as it reduces the formation of harmful trans-fats.¹¹⁰

Heterocyclic amines (HAs) are genotoxic compounds formed when meats are cooked at high temperatures, particularly when pan frying and barbecuing. These compounds pose a significant carcinogenic risk; it is not known if there is, in fact, any level of exposure that can be considered safe. Interestingly, a very recent analysis of HAs from six commercial burger outlets in California revealed high levels of these compounds in all samples, which reached over 1,000 nannograms per entrée.¹¹¹ It has been found that the use of red wine marinades while frying fish and poultry can significantly reduce the formation of these genotoxic amines, formed during cooking process.^{112, 113} As well, the addition of a small amount of carbohydrate (potato starch or potato flour) to hamburger meat has been found to reduce formation of damaging HAs created during the barbeque process.¹¹⁰ Caution should be used if frying with margarine as opposed to better cooking oils, such as canola, soy and olive oil. Steaks fried in margarine were shown to create high levels of mutagenic aldehydes.¹¹⁴

Microwaving

While there has been controversy about the effects of microwaves on food quality and safety, overall, there are only slight differences between microwave and conventional cooking with respect to vitamin and mineral retention.¹¹⁵ There is evidence that microwaving can reduce carotenoids in some foods;¹¹⁶ other studies confirm that microwaving preserves bioflavonoid content and appears to be the most effective method for cooking legumes.^{117,118} Some studies suggest that vitamin C is not stable under microwave conditions;¹¹⁹ other research confirms that the preservation of the B-complex vitamins, vitamin C, and flavonoids may reflect the amount of water and power used in the cooking process.^{104, 120} In one particular study, broccoli cooked by microwave was shown to preserve over 90% of its vitamin C and *all* of its health promoting sulforaphane content.⁹⁴ Other investigations confirm that reducing both water use and cooking time optimize the nutritional content of foods cooked by microwave.^{97, 121} An analysis of the preservation of antioxidant activity for 20 different vegetables concluded that microwave cooking, along with grilling and baking, was the preferred method to optimize nutritional value.⁹⁹

Concern has been expressed about the potential generation of mutagenic compounds from microwave cooking; however, a review of the literature finds little support for this argument.¹²²⁻¹²⁶ In fact, the evidence suggests that microwaves *do not* change the nutritional content of foods or create carcinogens, as can occur in conventional cooking, presumably because the foods are not heated beyond the boiling point of water.¹²⁷ Several studies report that cooking with microwaves allows foods to maintain more of the nutrient content because the vitamins and minerals are not leached out as with conventional cooking.¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰ In fact, cooking of meats in a microwave (in particular, smoked and preserved meats, such as

bacon) avoids the creation of carcinogenic heterocyclic amines (HCAs) and N-nitrosamines (NNAs) that is known to occur in conventional cooking.¹³¹⁻¹³⁶

Conclusions

The conveniences of modern living incur many trade-offs when it comes to eating a healthy diet. Most of us are simply unaware of our level of exposure to persistent environmental toxins through the foods we place daily on our table. Nor do we fully appreciate the degree to which the nutritional value of our food supply has been bludgeoned by our over-reliance on commercial, chemically based agriculture. The fact is, without fortified cereals, most of us would not even come close to meeting our daily nutritional requirements for vitamins, minerals and trace elements. Less than one-third of North Americans eat the minimum recommended five servings of fruits and vegetables every day. Now we find that even if a person accidentally *does* eat a vegetable, it doesn't have nearly the nutrition that nature intended.

What's a mother to do?

To start with, we can begin to identify those foods most highly exposed to chemical fertilizers and choose, instead, to supplement our diets with organically grown alternatives. We can learn how to grow our own produce on family owned or community garden plots and use organic growing techniques, such as composting and feeding the soil, to replenish the nutrients. If we can't grow our own gardens, we can choose to support local farmers and agriculturalists, encouraging the growth of a local organic farming culture, and we can support organic growers the world over with the purchase power of our consumer dollar. In the home, we can learn to adapt culinary and cooking techniques that *optimize*, rather than *compromise*, the nutritional value of the foods we purchase.

Finally, we can learn to stop treating vegetables as a side dish and understand that optimal nutritional intake is our best defense against illness and disease.

Reference List

- (1) Marler JB, Wallin JR. Human Health, the Nutritional Quality of Harvested Food and Sustainable Farming Systems. *Nutrition Security Institute* 2006; Available at: URL: http://www.nutritionsecurity.org/PDF/NSI_White%20Paper_Web.pdf. Accessed November 4, 2009.
- (2) Thomas D. The mineral depletion of foods available to us as a nation (1940-2002)--a review of the 6th Edition of McCance and Widdowson. *Nutr Health* 2007;19(1-2):21-55.
- (3) Farm Land Mineral Depletion. *Medical Missionary Press* 2009; Available at: URL: <http://www.mmpress.info/id58.htm>. Accessed November 4, 2009.
- (4) Horrigan L, Lawrence RS, Walker P. How sustainable agriculture can address the environmental and human health harms of industrial agriculture. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002 May;110(5):445-56.
- (5) Lilburne LR, Hewitt AE, Sparling GP, Selvarajah N. Soil quality in New Zealand: policy and the science response. *J Environ Qual* 2002 November;31(6):1768-73.
- (6) McMichael AJ. Global environmental change and human health: new challenges to scientist and policy-maker. *J Public Health Policy* 1994;15(4):407-19.
- (7) Boardman J, Shephard ML, Walker E, Foster ID. Soil erosion and risk-assessment for on- and off-farm impacts: a test case using the Midhurst area, West Sussex, UK. *J Environ Manage* 2009 June;90(8):2578-88.
- (8) Griffin TS, Honeycutt CW. Effectiveness and efficacy of conservation options after potato harvest. *J Environ Qual* 2009 July;38(4):1627-35.
- (9) Gunderson PD. Biofuels and North American agriculture--implications for the health and safety of North American producers. *J Agromedicine* 2008;13(4):219-24.
- (10) Liu YY, Ukita M, Imai T, Higuchi T. Recycling mineral nutrients to farmland via compost application. *Water Sci Technol* 2006;53(2):111-8.
- (11) Robert M. [Degradation of soil quality: health and environmental risks]. *Bull Acad Natl Med* 1997 January;181(1):21-40.

- (12) Davis DR, Epp MD, Riordan HD. Changes in USDA food composition data for 43 garden crops, 1950 to 1999. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2004 December;23(6):669-82.
- (13) Vegetables without Vitamins. Life Extension Foundation [March]. 2001. Ref Type: Magazine Article
- (14) Thomas D. A study on the mineral depletion of the foods available to us as a nation over the period 1940 to 1991. *Nutr Health* 2003;17(2):85-115.
- (15) Picard A. Today's fruits and vegetables lack yesterday's nutrition. *Globe and Mail* 2002 July 6; Available at: URL: globeandmail.com. Accessed November 4, 9 A.D.
- (16) Fan MS, Zhao FJ, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Poulton PR, Dunham SJ, McGrath SP. Evidence of decreasing mineral density in wheat grain over the last 160 years. *J Trace Elem Med Biol* 2008;22(4):315-24.
- (17) Karr M. Mineral Depletion in Soils. *longevitylibrary.com* 2009; Available at: URL: <http://longevitylibrary.com/article/99.htm>. Accessed November 4, 2009.
- (18) Drucker R. Depleted Soil and Compromised Food Sources: What You Can Do about It. *Nutrition Wellness* 2006 July 7; Available at: URL: http://www.nutritionalwellness.com/archives/2006/jul/07_depleted_soil.php. Accessed May 11, 2009.
- (19) Soil Mineral Depletion: Can a Healthy diet be sufficient in today's world? *Physical Nutrition* 2009; Available at: URL: <http://www.physicalnutrition.net/soil-mineral-depletion.htm>. Accessed May 11, 2009.
- (20) Stockdale T. A speculative discussion of some problems arising from the use of ammonium nitrate fertiliser on acid soil. *Nutr Health* 1992;8(4):207-22.
- (21) Soil Depletion. *TJ Clark.com* 2006; Available at: URL: <http://www.tjclark.com.au/colloidal-minerals-library/soil-depletion.htm>. Accessed November 4, 2009.
- (22) Ackerman LB. Overview of human exposure to dieldrin residues in the environment and current trends of residue levels in tissue. *Pestic Monit J* 1980 September;14(2):64-9.
- (23) Albers JM, Kreis IA, Liem AK, van ZP. Factors that influence the level of contamination of human milk with poly-chlorinated organic compounds. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 1996 February;30(2):285-91.
- (24) Baillie-Hamilton PF. Chemical toxins: a hypothesis to explain the global obesity epidemic. *J Altern Complement Med* 2002 April;8(2):185-92.

- (25) Bharadwaj L, Dhami K, Schneberger D, Stevens M, Renaud C, Ali A. Altered gene expression in human hepatoma HepG2 cells exposed to low-level 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and potassium nitrate. *Toxicol In Vitro* 2005 August;19(5):603-19.
- (26) Biscardi D, De FR, Feretti D et al. [Genotoxic effects of pesticide-treated vegetable extracts using the *Allium cepa* chromosome aberration and micronucleus tests]. *Ann Ig* 2003 November;15(6):1077-84.
- (27) Carpy SA, Kobel W, Doe J. Health risk of low-dose pesticides mixtures: a review of the 1985-1998 literature on combination toxicology and health risk assessment. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* 2000 January;3(1):1-25.
- (28) Dougherty CP, Henricks HS, Reinert JC, Panyacosit L, Axelrad DA, Woodruff TJ. Dietary exposures to food contaminants across the United States. *Environ Res* 2000 October;84(2):170-85.
- (29) Grote K, Andrade AJ, Grande SW et al. Effects of peripubertal exposure to triphenyltin on female sexual development of the rat. *Toxicology* 2006 May 1;222(1-2):17-24.
- (30) Gupta PK. Pesticide exposure--Indian scene. *Toxicology* 2004 May 20;198(1-3):83-90.
- (31) Jiang QT, Lee TK, Chen K et al. Human health risk assessment of organochlorines associated with fish consumption in a coastal city in China. *Environ Pollut* 2005 July;136(1):155-65.
- (32) Katz JM, Winter CK. Comparison of pesticide exposure from consumption of domestic and imported fruits and vegetables. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2009 February;47(2):335-8.
- (33) Kawahara J, Yoshinaga J, Yanagisawa Y. Dietary exposure to organophosphorus pesticides for young children in Tokyo and neighboring area. *Sci Total Environ* 2007 June 1;378(3):263-8.
- (34) Luo Y, Zhang M. Multimedia transport and risk assessment of organophosphate pesticides and a case study in the northern San Joaquin Valley of California. *Chemosphere* 2009 May;75(7):969-78.
- (35) Moser VC, Simmons JE, Gennings C. Neurotoxicological interactions of a five-pesticide mixture in preweanling rats. *Toxicol Sci* 2006 July;92(1):235-45.
- (36) Nakata H, Kawazoe M, Arizono K et al. Organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyl residues in foodstuffs and human tissues from china: status of contamination, historical trend, and human dietary exposure. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 2002 November;43(4):473-80.

- (37) Peng J, Peng L, Stevenson FF, Doctrow SR, Andersen JK. Iron and paraquat as synergistic environmental risk factors in sporadic Parkinson's disease accelerate age-related neurodegeneration. *J Neurosci* 2007 June 27;27(26):6914-22.
- (38) Reed L, Buchner V, Tchounwou PB. Environmental toxicology and health effects associated with hexachlorobenzene exposure. *Rev Environ Health* 2007 July;22(3):213-43.
- (39) Rivas A, Cerrillo I, Granada A, Mariscal-Arcas M, Olea-Serrano F. Pesticide exposure of two age groups of women and its relationship with their diet. *Sci Total Environ* 2007 August 15;382(1):14-21.
- (40) Tryphonas H. The impact of PCBs and dioxins on children's health: immunological considerations. *Can J Public Health* 1998 May;89 Suppl 1:S49-7.
- (41) Tsydenova OV, Sudaryanto A, Kajiwara N, Kunisue T, Batoev VB, Tanabe S. Organohalogen compounds in human breast milk from Republic of Buryatia, Russia. *Environ Pollut* 2007 March;146(1):225-32.
- (42) Viquez OM, Valentine HL, Friedman DB, Olson SJ, Valentine WM. Peripheral nerve protein expression and carbonyl content in N,N-diethyldithiocarbamate myelinopathy. *Chem Res Toxicol* 2007 March;20(3):370-9.
- (43) Wade MG, Parent S, Finnson KW et al. Thyroid toxicity due to subchronic exposure to a complex mixture of 16 organochlorines, lead, and cadmium. *Toxicol Sci* 2002 June;67(2):207-18.
- (44) Waliszewski SM, Pardo VT, Waliszewski KN et al. Organochlorine pesticide residues in cow's milk and butter in Mexico. *Sci Total Environ* 1997 December 3;208(1-2):127-32.
- (45) Weiss J, Papke O, Bergman A. A worldwide survey of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, dibenzofurans, and related contaminants in butter. *Ambio* 2005 December;34(8):589-97.
- (46) Bloom MS, Vena JE, Swanson MK, Moysich KB, Olson JR. Profiles of ortho-polychlorinated biphenyl congeners, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene, hexachlorobenzene, and Mirex among male Lake Ontario sportfish consumers: the New York State Angler cohort study. *Environ Res* 2005 February;97(2):178-94.
- (47) Carpy SA, Kobel W, Doe J. Health risk of low-dose pesticides mixtures: a review of the 1985-1998 literature on combination toxicology and health risk assessment. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* 2000 January;3(1):1-25.

- (48) Swirsky GL, Stern BR, Slone TH, Brown JP, Manley NB, Ames BN. Pesticide residues in food: investigation of disparities in cancer risk estimates. *Cancer Lett* 1997 August 19;117(2):195-207.
- (49) Gammon DW, Aldous CN, Carr WC, Jr., Sanborn JR, Pfeifer KF. A risk assessment of atrazine use in California: human health and ecological aspects. *Pest Manag Sci* 2005 April;61(4):331-55.
- (50) Mao H, Fang X, Floyd KM, Polcz JE, Zhang P, Liu B. Induction of microglial reactive oxygen species production by the organochlorinated pesticide dieldrin. *Brain Res* 2007 December;1186:267-74.
- (51) Abhilash PC, Singh N. Pesticide use and application: an Indian scenario. *J Hazard Mater* 2009 June 15;165(1-3):1-12.
- (52) Gupta PK. Pesticide exposure--Indian scene. *Toxicology* 2004 May 20;198(1-3):83-90.
- (53) Moser VC, Simmons JE, Gennings C. Neurotoxicological interactions of a five-pesticide mixture in preweanling rats. *Toxicol Sci* 2006 July;92(1):235-45.
- (54) Boyd CA, Weiler MH, Porter WP. Behavioral and neurochemical changes associated with chronic exposure to low-level concentration of pesticide mixtures. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 1990 July;30(3):209-21.
- (55) Porter WP, Green SM, Debbink NL, Carlson I. Groundwater pesticides: interactive effects of low concentrations of carbamates aldicarb and methomyl and the triazine metribuzin on thyroxine and somatotropin levels in white rats. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 1993 September;40(1):15-34.
- (56) Porter WP, Jaeger JW, Carlson IH. Endocrine, immune, and behavioral effects of aldicarb (carbamate), atrazine (triazine) and nitrate (fertilizer) mixtures at groundwater concentrations. *Toxicol Ind Health* 1999 January;15(1-2):133-50.
- (57) Thiruchelvam M, Richfield EK, Baggs RB, Tank AW, Cory-Slechta DA. The nigrostriatal dopaminergic system as a preferential target of repeated exposures to combined paraquat and maneb: implications for Parkinson's disease. *J Neurosci* 2000 December 15;20(24):9207-14.
- (58) Charlier C, Albert A, Herman P et al. Breast cancer and serum organochlorine residues. *Occup Environ Med* 2003 May;60(5):348-51.
- (59) Brucker-Davis F, Wagner-Mahler K, Delattre I et al. Cryptorchidism at birth in Nice area (France) is associated with higher prenatal exposure to PCBs and DDE, as assessed by colostrum concentrations. *Hum Reprod* 2008 August;23(8):1708-18.

- (60) Noren K, Meironyte D. Certain organochlorine and organobromine contaminants in Swedish human milk in perspective of past 20-30 years. *Chemosphere* 2000 May;40(9-11):1111-23.
- (61) Wigle DT, Arbuckle TE, Walker M, Wade MG, Liu S, Krewski D. Environmental hazards: evidence for effects on child health. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* 2007 January;10(1-2):3-39.
- (62) Stefanidou M, Maravelias C, Spiliopoulou C. Human exposure to endocrine disruptors and breast milk. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets* 2009 September;9(3):269-76.
- (63) Organic Food. *Wikipedia Online Encyclopedia* 2009; Available at: URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Organic_food. Accessed June 11, 2009.
- (64) Curl CL, Fenske RA, Elgethun K. Organophosphorus pesticide exposure of urban and suburban preschool children with organic and conventional diets. *Environ Health Perspect* 2003 March;111(3):377-82.
- (65) Magana-Gomez JA, de la Barca AM. Risk assessment of genetically modified crops for nutrition and health. *Nutr Rev* 2009 January;67(1):1-16.
- (66) Pryme IF, Lembecke R. In vivo studies on possible health consequences of genetically modified food and feed--with particular regard to ingredients consisting of genetically modified plant materials. *Nutr Health* 2003;17(1):1-8.
- (67) Dona A, Arvanitoyannis IS. Health risks of genetically modified foods. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2009 February;49(2):164-75.
- (68) Cantani A. Benefits and concerns associated with biotechnology-derived foods: can additional research reduce children health risks? *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2009 January;13(1):41-50.
- (69) Key S, Ma JK, Drake PM. Genetically modified plants and human health. *J R Soc Med* 2008 June;101(6):290-8.
- (70) Moneret-Vautrin DA. [Allergic risk and role of the Allergy Vigilance Network]. *Bull Acad Natl Med* 2007 April;191(4-5):807-14.
- (71) Hanssen M, Marsden J. *E for Additives*. 2nd ed. London: Harper Collins; 1987.
- (72) Ward NI, Soulsbury KA, Zettel VH, Colquhoun ID, Bunday S, Barnes B. The Influence of the Chemical Additive Tartrazine on the Zinc Status of Hyperactive Children. A Double-blind Placebo-controlled Study. *Journal of Nutritional & Environmental Medicine* 1990;1(1):51-7.
- (73) Lester GE, Manthey JA, Buslig BS. Organic vs conventionally grown Rio Red whole grapefruit and juice: comparison of production inputs, market

- quality, consumer acceptance, and human health-bioactive compounds. *J Agric Food Chem* 2007 May 30;55(11):4474-80.
- (74) Worthington V. Nutritional quality of organic versus conventional fruits, vegetables, and grains. *J Altern Complement Med* 2001 April;7(2):161-73.
- (75) Mitchell A. A two-year comparison of several quality and nutritional characteristics in tomatoes and peppers. *University of California (Davis Campus) website* 2009; Available at: URL: [http://mitchell.ucdavis.edu/documents/Comparisons%20of%20Organic%20and%20Conventional %20EccoFarm 2005.pdf](http://mitchell.ucdavis.edu/documents/Comparisons%20of%20Organic%20and%20Conventional%20EccoFarm%202005.pdf). Accessed June 11, 2009.
- (76) Carbonaro M, Mattera M, Nicoli S, Bergamo P, Cappelloni M. Modulation of antioxidant compounds in organic vs conventional fruit (peach, *Prunus persica* L., and pear, *Pyrus communis* L.). *J Agric Food Chem* 2002 September 11;50(19):5458-62.
- (77) Asami DK, Hong YJ, Barrett DM, Mitchell AE. Comparison of the total phenolic and ascorbic acid content of freeze-dried and air-dried marionberry, strawberry, and corn grown using conventional, organic, and sustainable agricultural practices. *J Agric Food Chem* 2003 February 26;51(5):1237-41.
- (78) Brandt K, Molgaard JP. Organic agriculture: does it enhance or reduce the nutritional value of plants foods? *Journal of Science and Food Agriculture* 2001;81(9):924-31.
- (79) Mukherjee A, Speh D, Dyck E, ez-Gonzalez F. Preharvest evaluation of coliforms, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in organic and conventional produce grown by Minnesota farmers. *J Food Prot* 2004 May;67(5):894-900.
- (80) Mukherjee A, Speh D, ez-Gonzalez F. Association of farm management practices with risk of *Escherichia coli* contamination in pre-harvest produce grown in Minnesota and Wisconsin. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2007 December 15;120(3):296-302.
- (81) Severi S, Bedogni G, Manzieri AM, Poli M, Battistini N. Effects of cooking and storage methods on the micronutrient content of foods. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 1997 March;6 Suppl 1:S21-S24.
- (82) Lee SU, Lee JH, Choi SH et al. Flavonoid content in fresh, home-processed, and light-exposed onions and in dehydrated commercial onion products. *J Agric Food Chem* 2008 September 24;56(18):8541-8.
- (83) McKillop DJ, Pentieva K, Daly D et al. The effect of different cooking methods on folate retention in various foods that are amongst the major contributors to folate intake in the UK diet. *Br J Nutr* 2002 December;88(6):681-8.

- (84) Miglio C, Chiavaro E, Visconti A, Fogliano V, Pellegrini N. Effects of different cooking methods on nutritional and physicochemical characteristics of selected vegetables. *J Agric Food Chem* 2008 January 9;56(1):139-47.
- (85) Galgano F, Favati F, Caruso M, Pietrafesa A, Natella S. The influence of processing and preservation on the retention of health-promoting compounds in broccoli. *J Food Sci* 2007 March;72(2):S130-S135.
- (86) Song L, Thornalley PJ. Effect of storage, processing and cooking on glucosinolate content of Brassica vegetables. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2007 February;45(2):216-24.
- (87) Schroeder HA. Losses of vitamins and trace minerals resulting from processing and preservation of foods. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1971 May;24(5):562-73.
- (88) McKillop DJ, Pentieva K, Daly D et al. The effect of different cooking methods on folate retention in various foods that are amongst the major contributors to folate intake in the UK diet. *Br J Nutr* 2002 December;88(6):681-8.
- (89) Galgano F, Favati F, Caruso M, Pietrafesa A, Natella S. The influence of processing and preservation on the retention of health-promoting compounds in broccoli. *J Food Sci* 2007 March;72(2):S130-S135.
- (90) Pan X, Zhao H, Men J, Shen X. [Changes of vitamins and mineral retention factors in potato cooked by different methods]. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu* 2007 July;36(4):485-7.
- (91) Yuan GF, Sun B, Yuan J, Wang QM. Effects of different cooking methods on health-promoting compounds of broccoli. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 2009 August;10(8):580-8.
- (92) Song L, Thornalley PJ. Effect of storage, processing and cooking on glucosinolate content of Brassica vegetables. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2007 February;45(2):216-24.
- (93) Kimura M, Itokawa Y. Cooking losses of minerals in foods and its nutritional significance. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)* 1990;36 Suppl 1:S25-S32.
- (94) Galgano F, Favati F, Caruso M, Pietrafesa A, Natella S. The influence of processing and preservation on the retention of health-promoting compounds in broccoli. *J Food Sci* 2007 March;72(2):S130-S135.
- (95) Song L, Thornalley PJ. Effect of storage, processing and cooking on glucosinolate content of Brassica vegetables. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2007 February;45(2):216-24.

- (96) Yuan GF, Sun B, Yuan J, Wang QM. Effects of different cooking methods on health-promoting compounds of broccoli. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 2009 August;10(8):580-8.
- (97) Rungapamestry V, Duncan AJ, Fuller Z, Ratcliffe B. Changes in glucosinolate concentrations, myrosinase activity, and production of metabolites of glucosinolates in cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* Var. capitata) cooked for different durations. *J Agric Food Chem* 2006 October 4;54(20):7628-34.
- (98) Zhao H, Yang X, Zhou R, Yang Y. [Study on vitamin B1, vitamin B2 retention factors in vegetables]. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu* 2008 January;37(1):92-6.
- (99) Jimenez-Monreal AM, Garcia-Diz L, Martinez-Tome M, Mariscal M, Murcia MA. Influence of cooking methods on antioxidant activity of vegetables. *J Food Sci* 2009 April;74(3):H97-H103.
- (100) Kimura M, Itokawa Y, Fujiwara M. Cooking losses of thiamin in food and its nutritional significance. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)* 1990;36 Suppl 1:S17-S24.
- (101) Gorinstein S, Leontowicz H, Leontowicz M et al. Comparison of the main bioactive compounds and antioxidant activities in garlic and white and red onions after treatment protocols. *J Agric Food Chem* 2008 June 25;56(12):4418-26.
- (102) Ioku K, Aoyama Y, Tokuno A, Terao J, Nakatani N, Takei Y. Various cooking methods and the flavonoid content in onion. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)* 2001 February;47(1):78-83.
- (103) Fillion L, Henry CJ. Nutrient losses and gains during frying: a review. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 1998 March;49(2):157-68.
- (104) Ioku K, Aoyama Y, Tokuno A, Terao J, Nakatani N, Takei Y. Various cooking methods and the flavonoid content in onion. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)* 2001 February;47(1):78-83.
- (105) Murcia MA, Jimenez-Monreal AM, Garcia-Diz L, Carmona M, Maggi L, Martinez-Tome M. Antioxidant activity of minimally processed (in modified atmospheres), dehydrated and ready-to-eat vegetables. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2009 August;47(8):2103-10.
- (106) Liu YM, Lin KW. Antioxidative ability, dioscorin stability, and the quality of yam chips from various yam species as affected by processing method. *J Food Sci* 2009 March;74(2):C118-C125.
- (107) Fillion L, Henry CJ. Nutrient losses and gains during frying: a review. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 1998 March;49(2):157-68.

- (108) Sherer RA, Price PS. The effect of cooking processes on PCB levels in edible fish tissue. *Qual Assur* 1993 December;2(4):396-407.
- (109) Wilson ND, Shear NM, Paustenbach DJ, Price PS. The effect of cooking practices on the concentration of DDT and PCB compounds in the edible tissue of fish. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 1998 July;8(3):423-40.
- (110) Kim JH, Park HG, Kim JH et al. The development of a novel cooking method (alternate roasting with its own fat) for chicken to improve nutritional value. *J Food Sci* 2008 May;73(4):S180-S184.
- (111) Sullivan KM, Erickson MA, Sandusky CB, Barnard ND. Detection of PhIP in grilled chicken entrees at popular chain restaurants throughout California. *Nutr Cancer* 2008;60(5):592-602.
- (112) Busquets R, Puignou L, Galceran MT, Skog K. Effect of red wine marinades on the formation of heterocyclic amines in fried chicken breast. *J Agric Food Chem* 2006 October 18;54(21):8376-84.
- (113) Persson E, Sjöholm I, Nyman M, Skog K. Addition of various carbohydrates to beef burgers affects the formation of heterocyclic amines during frying. *J Agric Food Chem* 2004 December 15;52(25):7561-6.
- (114) Sjaastad AK, Svendsen K. Exposure to mutagenic aldehydes and particulate matter during panfrying of beefsteak with margarine, rapeseed oil, olive oil or soybean oil. *Ann Occup Hyg* 2008 November;52(8):739-45.
- (115) Cross GA, Fung DY. The effect of microwaves on nutrient value of foods. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 1982;16(4):355-81.
- (116) Elizalde-Gonzalez MP, Hernandez-Ogarcia SG. Effect of cooking processes on the contents of two bioactive carotenoids in *Solanum lycopersicum* tomatoes and *Physalis ixocarpa* and *Physalis philadelphica* tomatillos. *Molecules* 2007;12(8):1829-35.
- (117) el-Adawy TA. Nutritional composition and antinutritional factors of chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.) undergoing different cooking methods and germination. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* 2002;57(1):83-97.
- (118) Gorinstein S, Leontowicz H, Leontowicz M et al. Comparison of the main bioactive compounds and antioxidant activities in garlic and white and red onions after treatment protocols. *J Agric Food Chem* 2008 June 25;56(12):4418-26.
- (119) Yuan GF, Sun B, Yuan J, Wang QM. Effects of different cooking methods on health-promoting compounds of broccoli. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 2009 August;10(8):580-8.

- (120) Hoffman CJ, Zabik ME. Effects of microwave cooking/reheating on nutrients and food systems: a review of recent studies. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1985 August;85(8):922-6.
- (121) Lopez-Berenguer C, Carvajal M, Moreno DA, Garcia-Viguera C. Effects of microwave cooking conditions on bioactive compounds present in broccoli inflorescences. *J Agric Food Chem* 2007 November 28;55(24):10001-7.
- (122) Microwave Ovens and their Hazards. *Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety* 2009; Available at: URL: http://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/phys_agents/microwave_ovens.html.
- (123) Radiation Emmissions from Microwave Ovens. *Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency* 2009; Available at: URL: http://www.arpansa.gov.au/RadiationProtection/Factsheets/is_Microwave.cfm.
- (124) Jonker D, Til HP. Human diets cooked by microwave or conventionally: comparative sub-chronic (13-wk) toxicity study in rats. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1995 April;33(4):245-56.
- (125) Nader CJ, Spencer LK, Weller RA. Mutagen production during pan-broiling compared with microwave irradiation of beef. *Cancer Lett* 1981 July;13(2):147-52.
- (126) Sirtori C, Paganuzzi M, Lombardo C et al. [Cooking meat in microwave ovens does not cause formation of mutagenic substances]. *Minerva Med* 1983 December 15;74(47-48):2803-6.
- (127) Microwave Ovens and Food Safety. *Health Canada* 2009; Available at: URL: <http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hl-vs/iyh-vsv/prod/micro-f-a-eng.php>. Accessed October 1, 2009.
- (128) Cross GA, Fung DY. The effect of microwaves on nutrient value of foods. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 1982;16(4):355-81.
- (129) Jimenez-Monreal AM, Garcia-Diz L, Martinez-Tome M, Mariscal M, Murcia MA. Influence of cooking methods on antioxidant activity of vegetables. *J Food Sci* 2009 April;74(3):H97-H103.
- (130) Galgano F, Favati F, Caruso M, Pietrafesa A, Natella S. The influence of processing and preservation on the retention of health-promoting compounds in broccoli. *J Food Sci* 2007 March;72(2):S130-S135.
- (131) Felton JS, Fultz E, Dolbear FA, Knize MG. Effect of microwave pretreatment on heterocyclic aromatic amine mutagens/carcinogens in fried beef patties. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1994 October;32(10):897-903.

- (132) Hoffman CJ, Zabik ME. Effects of microwave cooking/reheating on nutrients and food systems: a review of recent studies. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1985 August;85(8):922-6.
- (133) Miller BJ, Billedeau SM, Miller DW. Formation of N-nitrosamines in microwaved versus skillet-fried bacon containing nitrite. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1989 May;27(5):295-9.
- (134) Nader CJ, Spencer LK, Weller RA. Mutagen production during pan-broiling compared with microwave irradiation of beef. *Cancer Lett* 1981 July;13(2):147-52.
- (135) Sirtori C, Paganuzzi M, Lombardo C et al. [Cooking meat in microwave ovens does not cause formation of mutagenic substances]. *Minerva Med* 1983 December 15;74(47-48):2803-6.
- (136) Sugimura T, Wakabayashi K, Nakagama H, Nagao M. Heterocyclic amines: Mutagens/carcinogens produced during cooking of meat and fish. *Cancer Sci* 2004 April;95(4):290-9.